I certify this to be a cure size a view copy of the indianted flexibilities are not from the control of the control from

Gligg Street of the Toro

FILED JAN 22 1997

HJ.R. No. 55

By Detton

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

### A JOINT RESOLUTION

proposing a constitutional amendment relating to a deadline for supreme court action on a motion for rehearing.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Section 31, Article V, Texas Constitution, is amended by adding Subsection (d) to read as follows:

(d) Notwithstanding Section 1, Article II, of this constitution and any other provision of this constitution, if the supreme court does not act on a motion for rehearing before the 180th day after the date on which the motion is filed, the motion is denied.

SECTION 2. This proposed constitutional amendment shall be submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 4, 1997. The ballot shall be printed to provide for voting for or against the proposition: "The constitutional amendment to establish a deadline for supreme court action on a motion for rehearing."

# HOUSE COMMITTEE REPORT

## 1<sup>st</sup> Printing

97 MAR-6 PH 7: 22

BOURSE OF MERNISCHMANY.

By Dutton

1

15

H.J.R. No. 55

#### A JOINT RESOLUTION

proposing a constitutional amendment relating to a deadline for

supreme court action on a motion for rehearing. 2 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: 3 SECTION 1. Section 31, Article V, Texas Constitution, is amended by adding Subsection (d) to read as follows: 5 6 (d) Notwithstanding Section 1, Article II, of this 7 constitution and any other provision of this constitution, if the supreme court does not act on a motion for rehearing before the 8 180th day after the date on which the motion is filed, the motion 9 10 is denied. 11 SECTION 2. This proposed constitutional amendment shall be submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 4, 1997. 12 The ballot shall be printed to provide for voting for or against 13 "The constitutional amendment to establish a 14 the proposition:

deadline for supreme court action on a motion for rehearing."

## **COMMITTEE REPORT**

The Honorable James E. "Pete" Laney Speaker of the House of Representatives

. 'i, '

March 5, 1997 (date)

Sir:				
We, your COMMITTEE ON				
to whom was referredback with the recommendat	H.J.R. 55	have had t	he same under conside	eration and beg to report
$(_{ m X})$ do pass, without amendation ( ) do pass, with amendment ( ) do pass and be not prince		e Substitute is recom	nmended in lieu of the	original measure.
(X) yes ( ) no A fiscal	note was requested.			
( ) yes (XX) no A crimir	nal justice policy impact state	ement was requeste	d.	
() yes ( <u>kx</u> ) no An equa	alized educational funding in	npact statement was	s requested.	
( ) yes (≼x) no An actu	arial analysis was requested	d.		
( ) yes (xx) no A water	development policy impact	statement was requ	ested.	
() yes (xx) no A tax ed	quity note was requested.			
( ) The Committee recomm	nends that this measure be	sent to the Committe	ee on Local and Conse	ent Calendars.
For Senate Measures: Hou	use Sponsor		·	
Joint Sponsors:	/	,	,	
•				
о ороново.	<del></del>			
The measure was reported	from Committee by the follow	wing vote: NAY	PNV	ABSENT
Thompson, Chair	X			
Hartnett, Vice-chair	X			·
Clark	X			
Crabb	X			
Garcia	X		İ	
Luna	X			
Shields	X			
Solis	X			
Zbranek		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		X
1,,,				
***				
Total 8	aye	Son de	oma Tho	
0	nay	CHAIR /	our production	There

\_ present, not voting

\_\_\_\_ absent

1

#### **BILL ANALYSIS**

JUDICIAL AFFAIRS
H.J.R. 55
By: Dutton
3-5-97
Committee Report (Unamended)

### **BACKGROUND**

Presently a claimant may go to trial and have a judgement rendered. This judgment may be appealed to an a appellate court and affirmed by the court. Application for writ of error can then be filed with the Supreme Court of Texas. This application can be accepted or denied. Upon denial, within the requisite 15 days of Rule 134 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure, a motion for rehearing of the Court's denial of the application can be made.

Currently the law does not require the the Supreme Court to take action within a certain time frame on the motion for rehearing. The result has been that no action has been taken by the Court in some cases for more than two-and-a-half years.

### **PURPOSE**

HJR 55 provides that if the Supreme Court does not act on a motion for rehearing before the 180th day after the date on which the motion is filed, the motion is denied.

#### **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY**

It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency or institution.

#### **SECTION BY SECTION ANALYSIS**

SECTION 1 adds a new Subsection (d) to Section 31, Article V of the Texas Constitution to provide that if the supreme court does not act on a motion for rehearing before the 180th day after the date on which the motion is filed, the motion is denied.

SECTION 2. Ballot date. Ballot wording.

## LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

## **FISCAL NOTE** 75th Regular Session

March 2, 1997

To:

Honorable Senfronia Thompson, Chair

Committee on Judicial Affairs

House

Austin, Texas

IN RE: House Joint Resolution

No. 55

By: Dutton

From: John Keel, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on HJR55 (Proposing a constitutional amendment relating to a deadline for supreme court action on a motion for rehearing.) this office has determined the following:

Biennial Net Impact to General Revenue Funds by HJR55-As Introduced

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated, other than the cost of publication.

The cost to the state for publication of the resolution is \$71,000.

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Agencies: 212 Office of Court Administration

LBB Staff: JK, PE, JC

## WITNESS LIST

HJR 55 HOUSE COMMITTEE REPORT Judicial Affairs Committee

March 5, 1997 - 2:00P For: Rep. Harold Dutton (self)

## SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

HJR 55

March 5, 1997 2:00PM
Considered in public hearing
Testimony taken in committee
Reported favorably without amendment(s)

# **HOUSE ENGROSSMENT**

By Dutton

1

H.J.R. No. 55

#### A JOINT RESOLUTION

proposing a constitutional amendment relating to a deadline 2 supreme court action on a motion for rehearing. 3 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: SECTION 1. Section 31, Article V, Texas Constitution, is 4 amended by adding Subsection (d) to read as follows: 5 6 (d) Notwithstanding Section 1, Article II, of this 7 constitution and any other provision of this constitution, if the 8 supreme court does not act on a motion for rehearing before the 180th day after the date on which the motion is filed, the motion 9 is denied. 10 SECTION 2. This proposed constitutional amendment shall 11 be submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 4, 1997. 12 13 ballot shall be printed to provide for voting for or against 14 the proposition: "The constitutional amendment to establish a deadline for supreme court action on a motion for rehearing." 15

1-1 1-2	By: Dutton (Senate Sponsor - Ellis) H.J.R. No. 55
	(In the Senate - Received from the House April 17, 1997;
1-3	April 18, 1997, read first time and referred to Committee or
1 – 4	Jurisprudence; May 18, 1997, reported favorably by the following
1-5	vote: Yeas 7, Nays 0; May 18, 1997, sent to printer.)
1-6	HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION
1-7	proposing a constitutional amendment relating to a deadline for
1-8	supreme court action on a motion for rehearing.
1-9	BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
1-10	SECTION 1. Section 31, Article V, Texas Constitution, is
1-11	amended by adding Subsection (d) to read as follows:
1-12	(d) Notwithstanding Section 1, Article II, of this
1-13	constitution and any other provision of this constitution, if the
1 - 14	supreme court does not act on a motion for rehearing before the
1-15	180th day after the date on which the motion is filed, the motion
1-16	is denied.
1-17	SECTION 2. This proposed constitutional amendment shall be
1-18	submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 4, 1997.
1-19	The ballot shall be printed to provide for voting for or against
1-20	the proposition: "The constitutional amendment to establish a
1-21	deadline for supreme court action on a motion for rehearing."

1-22

1

## **FAVORABLE** SENATE COMMITTEE REPORT ON

SB	SCR	SJR	SR	HB	HCR	HJR	55
		Ву		Auth	or/Senate	Sponsor)	
				VAV	18	199	7_
					(date)		

We, your Committee on	JURISPRUD	ENCE	, to which was referred the attached measure,
have on MAY / S	of hearing)	had the same	under consideration and I am instructed to report it
back with the recommendati	on (s) that it:		
(v do pass and be printed			
( ) do pass and be ordered n	ot printed		
() and is recommended for p	placement on the Loca	l and Unconte	sted Bills Calendar.
A fiscal note was requested.	(Yyes	() no	
A revised fiscal note was requ	uested. () yes	Mno	
An actuarial analysis was re-	quested. () yes	(w) no	
Considered by subcommittee	. () yes	(Y no	
The measure was reported fr	om Committee by the	following vote	:

	YEA	NAY	ABSENT	PNV
Senator Rodney Ellis, Chair				
Senator Chris Harris, Vice-Chair	<b>✓</b>			
Senator David Cain				
Senator Robert Duncan	V			
Senator Greg Luna				
Senator Steve Ogden				
Senator Jeff Wentworth				
POTAL VOTES	2	0	10	0

## **COMMITTEE ACTION**

S260 Considered in public hearing S270 Testimony taken

COMMITTEE CLERK

odney Ellis

Paper clip the original and one copy of this signed form to the original bill Retain one copy of this form for Committee files

## **BILL ANALYSIS**

Senate Research Center

H.J.R. 55 By: Dutton (Ellis) Jurisprudence 5-17-97 Engrossed

#### **DIGEST**

Currently, a claimant may go to trial and have a judgment rendered. This judgment may be appealed to an appellate court and affirmed by the court. Application for writ of error can then be filed with the Supreme Court of Texas (court). This application can be accepted or denied. Upon denial, within the requisite of 15 days of Rule 134 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure, a motion for rehearing of the court's denial of the application can be made. Current law does not require the court to take action within a certain time frame on the motion for rehearing. As a result no action has been taken by the court for more than two-and-a-half years. H.J.R. 55 would provide that if the court does not act on a motion for rehearing before the 180th day after the date on which the motion is filed, the motion is denied.

#### **PURPOSE**

As proposed, H.J.R. 55 requires the submission to the voters of a constitutional amendment relating to a deadline for supreme court action on a motion for rehearing.

#### **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY**

This bill does not grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, institution, or agency.

## SECTION BY SECTION ANALYSIS

SECTION 1. Amends Section 31, Article V, Texas Constitution, by adding Subsection (d), to provide that if the supreme court does not act on a motion for rehearing before the 180th day after the date on which the motion is filed, the motion is denied.

SECTION 2. Requires this proposed constitutional amendment to be submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 4, 1997. Sets forth the required language for the ballot.

### LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

## **FISCAL NOTE** 75th Regular Session

May 17, 1997

To: Honorable Rodney Ellis, Chair

Committee on Jurisprudence

Senate

Austin, Texas

IN RE: House Joint Resolution No. 55, As Engrossed

By: Dutton

From: John Keel, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on HJR55 (Proposing a constitutional amendment relating to a deadline for supreme court action on a motion for rehearing.) this office has determined the following:

# Biennial Net Impact to General Revenue Funds by HJR55-As Engrossed

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated, other than the cost of publication. The cost to the state for publication of the resolution is \$71,000.

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source:

Agencies: 212 Office of Court Administration

LBB Staff: JK, BB, JC

## LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

## **FISCAL NOTE** 75th Regular Session

March 2, 1997

To:

Honorable Senfronia Thompson, Chair

Committee on Judicial Affairs

House

Austin, Texas

IN RE: House Joint Resolution

No. 55

By: Dutton

From: John Keel, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on HJR55 (Proposing a constitutional amendment relating to a deadline for supreme court action on a motion for rehearing.) this office has determined the following:

# Biennial Net Impact to General Revenue Funds by HJR55-As Introduced

No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated, other than the cost of publication.

The cost to the state for publication of the resolution is \$71,000.

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source:

Agencies: 212 Office of Court Administration

LBB Staff: JK, PE, JC

# **ENROLLED**

H.J.R. No. 55

### A JOINT RESOLUTION

proposing a constitutional amendment relating to a deadline for supreme court action on a motion for rehearing.

1

2

3

5

6.

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

- SECTION 1. Section 31, Article V, Texas Constitution, is amended by adding Subsection (d) to read as follows:
- (d) Notwithstanding Section 1, Article II, of this constitution and any other provision of this constitution, if the supreme court does not act on a motion for rehearing before the 180th day after the date on which the motion is filed, the motion is denied.

SECTION 2. This proposed constitutional amendment shall be submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 4, 1997. The ballot shall be printed to provide for voting for or against the proposition: "The constitutional amendment to establish a deadline for supreme court action on a motion for rehearing."

H.J.R. No. 55

President of the Senate Speaker of the Ho	use
I certify that H.J.R. No. 55 was passed by the House	on April
16, 1997, by the following vote: Yeas 145, Nays 0, 1 pre	sent, not
voting.	
Chief Clerk of the	House
I certify that H.J.R. No. 55 was passed by the Senat	e on May
21, 1997, by the following vote: Yeas 30, Nays 0.	
Secretary of the S	enate
RECEIVED:	
Date	
<del></del>	
Secretary of State	

Preside	nt of the Senate	Speaker of the House
I cer	tify that H.J.R. No.	$\frac{55}{(1)}$ was passed by the House on
		• •
Yeas 145 ,	Nays of prese	1997, by the following vote:  out, Not voting  (4)
(3)		(4)
		Chief Clerk of the House
		55 was passed by the Senate on
May 2	(5)	1997, by the following vote:  (7)
Yeas $\frac{30}{(6)}$ ,	Nays O	(7)
		Secretary of the Senate
RECEIVED:		-
	Date	
	Secretary of State	•

\*\*\*\* Preparation: CT23;

H.J.R. No. 55

By Law Williams

Disposition on theory of magazinesi relating to a descione for supreme court in the constant of the first of the constant of t

Jan 2 2 1997	Filed with the Chief Clerk
FEB 3 1997	Read first time and referred to Committee on Mulia Ageurs
MAR 5 MAY	Reportedfavorably (as amended) (as substituted)
MAR 7 1987	Sent to Committee on Calendars
A/R 1 6 1997	Read second time ( yeas,  and adopted ( present, not voting  present, not voting
APR 1 6 1937	Read third time (amended) and finally adopted (failed of adoption) by a record vote of yeas, nays, present, not voting
APR 1 7 1997	Sent to Senate  Sent to Senate
OTHER HOUSE ACTIO	CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE
APR 1 7 1997	_ Received from the House
APR 1 9 1997	Read and referred to Committee onJURISPRUDENCE
MAY 1 8 1097	Reported favorably
	Reported adversely, with favorable Committee Substitute; Committee Substitute read first time
	Ordered not printed
1-14	_ Laid before the Senate
MAY 2 1 1997	Senate and Constitutional Rules to permit consideration suspended by (unanimous consent)
MAY 2 1 1997	Read second time,, and passed to third reading by unanimous consent)  (a viva voce veie)
MAY 2 1 1997 MAY 2 1 1997	Senate and Constitutional 3 Day Rules suspended by a vote of 30 yeas, 0 nays  Read third time,, and passed by 30 yeas, 0 nays

Symple of the state of the stat

#4 #4